## APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE

	Do not write in this space.
Gasham NH	Case No. 07-2023
To: Board of Adjustment, Town of Gorham, MH	Date Filed _7/5/25
Name of Applicant Elizabeth Graffin	(signed - ZBA)
Address 30 Washington St	
Owner Same (if same as applicant, write	e "same")  Map/Parcel U3-70
Location of Property 30 washing fun St. Gorh	
(street, number, sub-division as NOTE: This application is not acceptable unless all required s	nd lot number) statements have been made.
NOTE: This application is not acceptable unless an required s	space provided is inadequate.
Additional information may be supplied on separate pages if the	space provided is indeequate.
Article IV Sect	im 4,010 2,6
APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE	2.1
A variance is requested from article Setlacks section	of the zoning ordinance to
permit a ramp to be placed So the with a walker can get in and a	not a 93 year ora
with a walker can get in and a	cit at the house
independently is necessary. The ran	P WOWN
Facts in support of granting the variance:  1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	rest because:
1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public meets	property and not
it would be totally on our affect any abutters or the to	own
atten any and it	
2. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would	d he observed because:
The object the	no value at the any
This should not direct in	perty. The aboabutters I ding the ramp.
aparters of car bui	Iding the ramp.
nave all carees	
3. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because:  The would allow a Gorham	sesident for 50+ years
It would allow a Gornam	

easier access to her new home
4. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because:  The ramp would not defract from the neighbor hood and would be kept up.
5. Unnecessary Hardship
A. Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary <b>hardship</b> because:
<ol> <li>No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property</li> </ol>
would not be smable to safely evacuate
the premises in an emergency. It would the calso make it easier for EMT to remove her from the homeout is necessary
ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because:
It will a 50+year resident of Gorham
better access to he current home.
B. Explain how, if the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.
If we are not allowed the variance a 93 year old will not be able to get in or out of the house with out assistance even in an emergency.

Description of Work to be done:

building permit submitted (B60-2023) and approved owners re-submit - needs variance

NOTE: Setback Distances from structures to all property lines. Remember the front setback is measured from your property line not the

PROPOSED & EXISTING		
Distance Rear_/ f	-+	gal objekt de delege de gleende delege
dwa	new [	
	Reabo	Distance Right 35f+
	4	
ts vot by so m	77+	
	Distance Rear 1	Distance Rear_1ft  dwz_mau

Name of Street:		Tax Map & Lot #	
Name of Applicant:		The state of the s	
11	Reviewed by Board of Selectmen		